

(1) Prove that convergence of $\{s_n\}$ implies convergence of $\{|s_n|\}$. Is the converse true?

Pf: Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$. So we have that:

Given $\epsilon > 0$: $\exists N: \forall n > N: |s_n - s| < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow -\epsilon < s_n - s < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} s - \epsilon < s_n \\ s_n < \epsilon + s \end{cases}$

Now, we want to show that $\{|s_n|\}$ converges to $|s|$, i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_n| = |s|$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Choose N s.t. $|s_n - s| < \epsilon$ provided that $n > N$. Then,

$| |s_n| - |s| | \leq |s_n - s| < \epsilon$, which can be deduced from triangular inequality
 $< \epsilon \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |s_n| = |s|$

the converse is not true. Consider $s_n = (-1)^n$. Then, $|s_n| = |(-1)^n| = 1$, so $|s_n|$ is the constant sequence 1; $|s_n| = 1, 1, 1, \dots$ which clearly converges to 1.

However, $s_n = (-1)^n$ does not converge since:
 $\lim_n \sup s_n = 1 \neq -1 = \lim_n \inf s_n$ 10

(3) If $s_1 = \sqrt{2}$ and $s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}}$ ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$), prove that $\{s_n\}$ converges, and that $s_n < 2$ for $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$

Pf: By theorem 3.14, if we can show that $\{s_n\}$ is monotonic and bounded then we will have that $\{s_n\}$ converges. So, let us prove:

- (I) $\{s_n\}$ is monotonic increasing.
 - (II) $\{s_n\}$ is bounded by 2.
- (Both proofs by induction.)

(II) BASE CASE: $s_1 = \sqrt{2} < 2$, so base case holds.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Suppose $s_n < 2$. We want to show that $s_{n+1} < 2$.

By definition: $s_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{s_n}} < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} < \sqrt{2 + 2} = \sqrt{4} = 2$

By inductive hypothesis since $\sqrt{2} < 2 \Rightarrow s_{n+1} < 2 \Rightarrow \{s_n\}$ is bounded by 2.

(I) Let us prove that $s_n < s_{n+1}$, for $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$. By induction:

BASE CASES: We need to prove the following base cases:

$s_1 = \sqrt{2} < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} = s_2$, since $\sqrt{2} > 0$ and $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is an increasing function.

Likewise, it is not hard to see that

$s_2 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}} < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}}} = s_3$. So base cases hold.

Inductive STEP: Suppose that $S_{n-1} < S_n$. We want to show that $S_n < S_{n+1}$
 by inductive hypothesis we know: $S_{n-1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_{n-2}}} < \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_{n-1}}} = S_n$. Now, by definition

$$S_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_n}} \Rightarrow S_{n+1}^2 = 2 + \sqrt{S_n} \Rightarrow S_{n+1}^2 - 2 = \sqrt{S_n} \Rightarrow (S_{n+1}^2 - 2)^2 = S_n.$$

by hypothesis: $S_n = (S_{n+1}^2 - 2)^2 = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_{n-1}}} > S_{n-1} = \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_{n-2}}}$
 $\Rightarrow (S_{n+1}^2 - 2)^2 > \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_{n-2}}} \Rightarrow S_{n+1}^2 - 2 > \sqrt{\sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_{n-2}}}} = \sqrt{S_{n-1}}$
 $\Rightarrow S_{n+1}^2 - 2 > \sqrt{S_{n-1}} \Rightarrow S_{n+1} > \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{S_{n-1}}} = S_n \Rightarrow \boxed{S_{n+1} > S_n} \quad \forall n.$

8 (II) $\Rightarrow \{S_n\}$ is monotonic, bounded $\Rightarrow \{S_n\}$ converges.

Investigate the behavior (convergence or divergence) of $\sum a_n$ if

2) $a_n = \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n}$:

Solution: $a_n = \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} = \sqrt{n+1} - \sqrt{n} \cdot \frac{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} = \frac{(\sqrt{n+1})^2 - (\sqrt{n})^2}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} = \frac{n+1-n}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}}$

$\Rightarrow a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}}$. Let us try to find a bound for a_n :

$$a_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1} + \sqrt{n+1}} \quad \text{since } \sqrt{n} < \sqrt{n+1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n+1}}$$

$$> \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \quad \text{since } \sqrt{n+1} < n+1$$

Now let us investigate the behavior of $\sum a_n$, where $b_n = \frac{1}{2(n+1)}$. $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2(n+1)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$; by change of variables.

Then $\sum b_n$ diverges by the p-test (here $p=1$).

Moreover, since $a_n > b_n > 0 \quad \forall n \Rightarrow \sum a_n$ diverges (theorem 3.25) (comparison Test).
 $\{ \sum b_n \text{ diverges} \}$

3) $a_n = (\sqrt[n]{n} - 1)^n$;

by the root test: let $\alpha = \limsup_n \sqrt[n]{|a_n|} = \lim_n |\sqrt[n]{n} - 1| = \lim_n \sqrt[n]{n} - 1 = 1 - 1 = 0$

since we proved that $\lim \sqrt[n]{n} = 1$ and that the sum of the limits of the terms of the sum. Moreover, since $\lim_n \sqrt[n]{n} - 1$ exists it must be equal to $\limsup_n \sqrt[n]{n} - 1$. Hence:

$$\alpha = 0 < 1 \Rightarrow \sum a_n \text{ converges.}$$

(1) Suppose $a_n > 0$, $s_n = a_1 + \dots + a_n$, and $\sum a_n$ diverges.

(a) Prove that $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ diverges

Pf: Let us prove this by cases:

(i) $\{a_n\}$ is bounded.

(ii) $\{a_n\}$ is not bounded.

(i) Suppose there exists $M \in \mathbb{R}$, $M > 0$ s.t. $a_n \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
 then, $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n} \geq \sum \frac{a_n}{1+M} = \frac{1}{1+M} \sum a_n > \sum a_n > 0$.
 $\Rightarrow \sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n} > \sum a_n > 0$; but $\sum a_n > 0 \Rightarrow \sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ diverges.

(ii) Suppose a_n is not bounded by hypothesis, $a_n > 0$, therefore it must be the case that $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ per otherwise a_n would be bounded. But then, since $\frac{a_n}{1+a_n} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{a_n} + 1}$, we have that $\frac{1}{a_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\lim_n \frac{a_n}{1+a_n} = \lim_n \frac{1}{\frac{1}{a_n} + 1} = \frac{1}{0+1} = 1, \text{ since } \frac{1}{a_n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$\Rightarrow \lim_n \frac{a_n}{1+a_n} = 1 \neq 0$, so the general term does not goes to zero, which implies that $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ diverges.

In any case (i)/(ii) $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+a_n}$ diverges.

(b) Prove that $\frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+K}}{s_{N+K}} \geq 1 - \frac{s_N}{s_{N+K}}$ and deduce that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n}$ diverges.

Pf: $\frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+K}}{s_{N+K}} \geq \frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+K}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+K}}{s_{N+K}}$

$$= \frac{a_{N+1} + \dots + a_{N+K}}{s_{N+K}} = \frac{a_{N+1} + \dots + a_{N+K} + (a_1 + \dots + a_N - (a_1 + \dots + a_N))}{s_{N+K}}$$

$$= \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_N + a_{N+1} + \dots + a_{N+K} - (a_1 + \dots + a_N)}{s_{N+K}}$$

$$= \frac{s_{N+K} - s_N}{s_{N+K}}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{s_N}{s_{N+K}}$$

By definition of s_n .

$$\Rightarrow \frac{a_{N+1}}{s_{N+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_{N+K}}{s_{N+K}} \geq 1 - \frac{s_N}{s_{N+K}}$$

adding and subtract the same quantity

Rearrange terms

Now we want to deduce that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n}$ diverges. Suppose to the contrary that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n}$ converges. Then, by the Cauchy criterion:

Given $\epsilon > 0$: $\exists N$: $\forall m > n > N$: $\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{a_k}{s_k} < \epsilon$; (no absolute value needed since both a_k and s_k are positive).

Now, fix an integer q and let $k > q$.

Choose $\epsilon = 1 - \frac{s_q}{s_{q+k}} > 0$ since $s_{q+k} > s_q \Rightarrow \frac{s_q}{s_{q+k}} < 1$. By the Cauchy

criterion; there exists N s.t. $\forall m > n > N$:

$$\sum_{i=n}^m \frac{a_i}{s_i} < \epsilon \Leftrightarrow \frac{a_n}{s_n} + \frac{a_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_m}{s_m} < \epsilon = 1 - \frac{s_q}{s_{q+k}}$$

but by what was proved before $\frac{a_n}{s_n} + \frac{a_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_m}{s_m} > 1 - \frac{s_n}{s_m}$; so we have

$$1 - \frac{s_q}{s_{q+k}} > \frac{a_n}{s_n} + \frac{a_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}} + \dots + \frac{a_m}{s_m} > 1 - \frac{s_n}{s_m} \Rightarrow 1 - \frac{s_q}{s_{q+k}} > 1 - \frac{s_n}{s_m}$$

$\Rightarrow \frac{s_n}{s_m} > \frac{s_q}{s_{q+k}}$. Now, q is fixed so the ratio $\frac{s_q}{s_{q+k}}$ is a fixed number, call it β .

$\Rightarrow \frac{s_n}{s_m} > \beta$. However, $s_m > s_n$; and $m > n > N$; so we can make this ratio as small as we want by letting $m \rightarrow \infty$; which contradicts

the fact derived that $\frac{s_n}{s_m} > \beta$, for some fixed β .

Therefore, $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n}$ diverges.

Prove that $\frac{a_n}{s_n^2} \leq \frac{1}{s_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{s_n}$ and deduce that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n^2}$ converges.

$$\frac{1}{s_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{s_n} = \frac{s_n - s_{n-1}}{s_{n-1} s_n} \quad \text{by adding fractions}$$

$$= \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n - (a_1 + \dots + a_{n-1})}{s_{n-1} s_n} \quad \text{by definition of } s_n$$

$$= \frac{a_n}{s_{n-1} s_n} \quad \text{Cancelling terms.}$$

$$\geq \frac{a_n}{s_n s_n} = \frac{a_n}{s_n^2} \quad \text{since } s_n \geq s_{n-1}; \text{ since } a_n > 0.$$

$$\boxed{\frac{1}{s_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{s_n} \geq \frac{a_n}{s_n^2}}$$

11413-Fall 2013- HW8- Enrique Areyan

Now we want to deduce that $\sum \frac{a_n}{s_n^2}$ converges.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Pick N s.t. $\frac{1}{s_{N-1}} - \frac{1}{s_N} < \epsilon$, provided that $m \geq n \geq N$. then

$|\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{a_k}{s_k^2}| = \sum_{k=n}^m \frac{a_k}{s_k^2}$ since all terms are positive.

$= \frac{a_n}{s_n^2} + \frac{a_{n+1}}{s_{n+1}^2} + \frac{a_{n+2}}{s_{n+2}^2} + \dots + \frac{a_{m-1}}{s_{m-1}^2} + \frac{a_m}{s_m^2}$ Expanding sum.

$\leq (\frac{1}{s_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{s_n}) + (\frac{1}{s_n} - \frac{1}{s_{n+1}}) + (\frac{1}{s_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{s_{n+2}}) + \dots + (\frac{1}{s_{m-2}} - \frac{1}{s_{m-1}}) + (\frac{1}{s_{m-1}} - \frac{1}{s_m})$ by previous statement

$= \frac{1}{s_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{s_m}$ CANCELLING terms

$\leq \frac{1}{s_{N-1}} - \frac{1}{s_N} < \epsilon$ by our choice of N for ϵ .

$\Rightarrow |\sum_{k=n}^m \frac{a_k}{s_k^2}| < \epsilon \Rightarrow \sum \frac{a_n}{s_n^2}$ converges.

(d) what can be said about $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+n a_n}$ and $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+n^2 a_n}$?

Solution: clearly, we can compare $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+n a_n}$ with $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ as follows:

$n^2 + \frac{1}{a_n} > n^2$ since $a_n > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{a_n} > 0$. ($n=1, 2, 3, \dots$)

$\frac{1}{1+n^2 a_n} > n^2$ rewriting $n^2 + \frac{1}{a_n}$

taking the reciprocal in both sides.

$0 < \frac{a_n}{1+n^2 a_n} < \frac{1}{n^2}$

$\Rightarrow \sum \frac{1}{n^2} > \sum \frac{a_n}{1+n^2 a_n} > 0$; summing both sides of last expres

theorem 3.28 (p-test) $\Rightarrow \sum \frac{1}{n^2}$. Now, theorem 3.25 (comparison

test) $\Rightarrow \sum \frac{a_n}{1+n^2 a_n}$ converges.

Now, $\sum \frac{a_n}{1+n a_n}$ may converge or diverge. Consider:

(I) $a_n = \frac{1}{n}$. this satisfies our hypothesis, i.e., $a_n > 0, \sum a_n$ d

then, $\frac{a_n}{1+n a_n} = \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{1+\frac{1}{n}} = \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{2n} > \frac{1}{n} > 0$; since $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ diverges

by th 3.25 (comparison test) $\Rightarrow \frac{a_n}{1+n a_n}$ diverges. 20

Ⓐ Let $a_n = n^2$. Clearly, $\sum a_n$ diverges. and $a_n > 0$. But

$$\frac{a_n}{1+n a_n} = \frac{n^2}{1+n n^2} = \frac{n^2}{1+n^3} = \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{1}{1+n} + \frac{2n-1}{n^2-n+1} \right] < \frac{2}{n^2}; \text{ and}$$

Since $\sum \frac{1}{n^2}$ converges, so does $\frac{a_n}{1+n a_n}$.

Therefore, $\frac{a_n}{1+n a_n}$ might diverge by (I) or it might converge by (II).

6) Fix a positive number α . Choose $x_1 > \sqrt{\alpha}$, and define x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots by the recursion formula: $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} \right)$.

Prove that $\{x_n\}$ decreases monotonically and that $\lim x_n = \sqrt{\alpha}$.

Proof: First, let us show that x_n is bounded below by $\sqrt{\alpha}$; i.e., $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$ by induction:

BASE CASE: $n=1$, then by hypothesis $x_1 > \sqrt{\alpha}$.

INDUCTIVE STEP: Suppose that $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$. WANT to show $x_{n+1} > \sqrt{\alpha}$.

Since $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha} \Rightarrow x_n^2 > \alpha \Rightarrow x_n > \frac{\alpha}{x_n}$ (Note that x_n is never zero).

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{x_n} > \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{x_n}} = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{x_n}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{x_n} - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{x_n}} > 0$$

$$\left(\sqrt{x_n} - \frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{\sqrt{x_n}} \right)^2 > 0 \Rightarrow x_n - 2\sqrt{\alpha} + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} > 0 \Rightarrow x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} > 2\sqrt{\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} \right) > \sqrt{\alpha} \Rightarrow \boxed{x_{n+1} > \sqrt{\alpha}}$$

cond, let us show that x_n is monotonically decreasing, i.e., $x_n > x_{n+1}$. This follows as a corollary to what we proved before

cause we know that for any n : $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha}$. Therefore,

$$\Rightarrow x_n^2 > \alpha \Rightarrow 0 > \alpha - x_n^2 \Rightarrow 0 > \alpha + x_n^2 - 2x_n^2 \Rightarrow 2x_n^2 > \alpha + x_n^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 2x_n > \frac{\alpha + x_n^2}{x_n} \text{ (again, } x \text{ is always positive)}$$

$$\Rightarrow x_n > \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} \right) = x_{n+1} \Rightarrow x_n > x_{n+1}, \text{ so } x \text{ is strictly monotonic decreasing.}$$

Theorem 3.14, since x_n is monotonic bounded it follows that converges. Let $\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

Now we want to prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sqrt{\alpha}$.

$$\beta = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \left(x_{n-1} + \frac{\alpha}{x_{n-1}} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n-1} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha}{x_{n-1}} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[\beta + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \right] = \beta \Rightarrow 2\beta = \beta + \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \Rightarrow \beta = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \Rightarrow \beta^2 = \alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\beta = \sqrt{\alpha}}$$

Since $x_n > 0$, we care only about $+\sqrt{\alpha}$ and disregard $-\sqrt{\alpha}$.

(b) Put $\epsilon_n = x_n - \sqrt{\alpha}$, and show that: $\epsilon_{n+1} = \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{2x_n} < \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}$

Pf: $\epsilon_{n+1} = x_{n+1} - \sqrt{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{\alpha}{x_n} \right) - \sqrt{\alpha}$ by def. of x_n

$$= \frac{x_n}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2x_n} - \sqrt{\alpha}$$

adding fractions

$$= \frac{x_n^2 + \alpha - 2\sqrt{\alpha}x_n}{2x_n}$$

$$= \frac{(x_n - \sqrt{\alpha})^2}{2x_n} = \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{2x_n}$$

by definition of ϵ_n .

Now, since we proved that $x_n > \sqrt{\alpha} \forall n$, we get $\beta = 2\sqrt{\alpha}$ we get.

$$\boxed{\epsilon_{n+1} = \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{2x_n} < \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{2\sqrt{\alpha}}}$$

; so that setting $\beta = 2\sqrt{\alpha}$ we get.

$$\epsilon_{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} = \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{\beta} < \frac{(\frac{\epsilon_{n-1}}{\beta})^2}{\beta} = \frac{\epsilon_{n-1}^2}{\beta^2} = \frac{\epsilon_{n-1}^2}{\beta \cdot \beta} = \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_{n-1}}{\beta} \right)^2 < \dots < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} \right)^{2^n}$$

(c) If $\alpha = 3$ and $x_1 = 2$, show that $\epsilon_1 / \beta < \frac{1}{10}$.

By definitions: $\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} = \frac{x_1 - \sqrt{\alpha}}{2\sqrt{\alpha}} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3}} = \frac{2 - \sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3}} \cdot \frac{2 + \sqrt{3}}{2 + \sqrt{3}} = \frac{4 - 3}{2\sqrt{3}(2 + \sqrt{3})} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}(2 + \sqrt{3})}$

And since $2\sqrt{3}(2 + \sqrt{3}) = 4\sqrt{3} + 2 \cdot 3 = 4\sqrt{3} + 6 > 4 + 6 > 10$ (since $\sqrt{3} > 1$)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}(2 + \sqrt{3})} < \frac{1}{10} \Rightarrow \frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} < \frac{1}{10}$$

Finally, we can conclude \longrightarrow (back page)

$$\epsilon_5 = x_5 - \sqrt{x} \quad \epsilon_6 = x_6 - \sqrt{x}. \quad \text{We proved:}$$

$$\epsilon_{n+1} < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} \right)^{2^n} \quad \text{Apply it here:}$$

$$\epsilon_{4+1} = \epsilon_5 < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} \right)^{2^4} = 2\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} \right)^{16} < 2\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{1}{10} \right)^{16} = 2\sqrt{3} \cdot 10^{-16} < 4 \cdot 10^{-16}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\epsilon_5 < 4 \cdot 10^{-16}} \quad \text{Likewise:}$$

$$\epsilon_{5+1} = \epsilon_6 < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} \right)^{2^5} = 2\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} \right)^{32} < 2\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{1}{10} \right)^{32} = 2\sqrt{3} \cdot 10^{-32} < 4 \cdot 10^{-32}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\epsilon_6 < 4 \cdot 10^{-32}}$$

So,

ϵ_n measures the error between the n^{th} term in $\{x_n\}$ and \sqrt{x} .
The above calculations show that the error reduces by an order of 10^{-16} when computing successive terms x_4, x_5 . This means that the approach very quickly $\Theta(2^n)$ to the value of \sqrt{x} .
Hence, this is an algorithm with exponential convergence.